

# ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD

MAIN FLOOR CITY HALL 1 SIR WINSTON CHURCHILL SQUARE EDMONTON, ALBERTA T5J 2R7 (780) 496-5026 FAX (780) 496-8199

July 14, 2010

NOTICE OF DECISION NO. 0098 59/10

Canadian Valuation Group 1200 10665 Jasper Ave. Edmonton, AB T5J3S9 THE CITY OF EDMONTON
ASSESSMENT AND TAXATION BRANCH
600 CHANCERY HALL
3 SIR WINSTON CHURCHILL SQUARE
EDMONTON, AB T5J 2C3

This is a decision of the Assessment Review Board (ARB) from a hearing held on July 14, 2010 respecting an appeal on the 2010 Annual New Realty Assessment.

Roll Number	Municipal Address	Legal Description
1328756	4910 74 <sup>th</sup> Ave. N.W.	Plan: 8323168 Block: T Lot: 10
Assessed Value	Assessment Type	Assessment Year
\$3,084,000	Annual New	2010

#### **Before:**

Tom Robert, Presiding Officer John Braim, Board Member Thomas Eapen, Board Member

**Persons Appearing: Complainant** 

Tom Janzen, CVG

**Persons Appearing: Respondent** 

Mary Alice Lesyk, Assessor, City of Edmonton Steve Lutes, Lawyer, City of Edmonton

# **PRELIMINARY MATTERS**

The parties did not identify any preliminary matters.

## **ISSUES**

Is the assessment of the subject property assessed according to market value adherring to s. 467(3) of the Municipal Government Act?

### **BACKGROUND**

The subject property is a warehouse containing 32,995 sq. ft. comprised of 29,047 total main floor area and 3,947 finished mezzanine area. The subject property is located at 4910 74<sup>th</sup> Ave. N.W. located in the S.E. area of Edmonton.



#### **LEGISLATION**

#### The Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000, c. M-26;

S.467(1) An assessment review board may, with respect to any matter referred to in section 460(5), make a change to an assessment roll or tax roll or decide that no change is required.

S.467(3) An assessment review board must not alter any assessment that is fair and equitable, taking into consideration

- a) the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations,
- b) the procedures set out in the regulations, and
- c) the assessments of similar property or businesses in the same municipality.

### POSITION OF THE COMPLAINANT

The Complainant put forward seven comparable sales ranging in value from \$72.10/ sq. ft. to \$111.30 /sq. ft. and is requesting a value of 80.00/ sq. ft. for a total assessment of \$2, 639,500. Three of the Complainant's sales were within the same area as the subject property, ranging in value from \$72.60-111.30 sq. ft.

#### POSITION OF THE RESPONDENT

The Respondent put forward four sales in the S.E. ranging in value from \$91.97-\$135.61/ sq. ft. Further, the Respondent put forward an equity comparable assessment list indicating a range from \$92-\$97/ sq. ft.

#### **DECISION**

The Board confirms the assessment at \$3,084,000.

#### **REASONS FOR THE DECISION**

The Board is of the opinion that the Respondent sales comparables in the S.E. best compare to the subject property in attributes such as location, site coverage, land size and building size.

Although the Respondent argues that the calculated A.S.R.s represent the total number of properties particular to a specific grouping, the Board questions the A.S.R.s of specific comparables used to demonstrate equity.

Dated this 14 <sup>th</sup> day of July, 2010 at the City of Edmonton, in the Province of Alberta	erta.
Presiding Officer	

CC: ASSESSMENT MV ADVISORS INC - O/A ASSESSMENT ADVISORY GROUP, STREAM FLO INDUSTRIES LTD.

